Case 1:11-cv-06746-RKE-HBP Document 92-1 Filed 09/11/13 Page 1 of 8

## S.D.N.Y. Local Rule 26.2 Privilege Log of Defendant The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey As of June 12, 2013

relevant or otherwise responsive. Additionally, although many of the deliberations were ongoing through the relevant period, approximate decision dates where applicable, along with the date range se on this privilege log the Port Authority does Authority on November 5, 2012. Certain categories and date ranges of communications or documents may include some documents or other materials subject to objection by the Port Authority, or that are not deliberative process privilege pursuant to all applicable federal, New York and New Jersey laws, for only such communications or documents that are non-objectionable, relevant, and in response to Plaintiff's Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26, 33, 34, 37, and S.D.N.Y. Local Rule 26.3 and 26.3, Defendant The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (the "Port Authority") hereby propounds the requested discovery under the parameters set forth in the Court's May 7, 2012, Order and October 9, 2012, Order. This privilege log supplements without superseding the privilege log provided by the Port underlying partial privilege log containing supplemental information for categories numbered 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, and 27, pursuant to the Court's Opinion and Order of May 8, 2013, asserting the nrivilege log - Ry including are indicated on this a the deliberations leading to those decisions

e Fort Authority does re than one category.	Privilege Reason	Section 1	Deliberative Process Privilege
of the privileged documents reflecting the deliberations leading to those decisions, are indicated on this privilege log. By including certain categories or date ranges on this privilege log, the Port Authority does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, any applicable objections, including but not limited to relevance, responsiveness, burden, and scope. Any particular document may fall under more than one category. This privilege log contains terms further defined in the Appendix, located at the end of the log. The Port Authority reserves the right to amend this privilege log.	Privilege Description	Fare Increases	Non-public communications, including documents reflecting communications, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing, reflecting, or in furtherance of recommendations, draft documents, proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding the 2011 proposed toll and fare increases, including whether to raise the tolls and fares; the size of the toll and fare increase; and whether to implement an objective, financial-model based mechanism for future toll and fare increases.
ndicated on this privilege log. By in mited to relevance, responsiveness, he log. The Port Authority reserves	Number of Individual Authors/Recipients	ADVICE REGARDING THE 2011 PROPOSED TOLL AND FARE INCREASES	Total number of authors and recipients: 46  Most highly placed individual: David Samson, Chairman, Board of Commissioners  Least highly placed individual: Conor Larz, Special Assistant to the Executive Director
se decisions, are in icluding but not li ited at the end of t	Recipients	VICE REGARDING	Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel
ons leading to thos able objections, ir he Appendix, loca	Authors		Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel
ne deliberati s, any applic defined in t	Number of Docs		50
ocuments reflecting the by expressly reserved ontains terms further	DocType	Specific DE Specific	Communications, including e-mails and/or letters
ne privileged do waive, and here privilege log c	Date		10/18/2010 - 8/19/2011
of the not '	No		17

106	Client and/or	ī	N/A	Total number of authors and	Non-public memoranda, white papers, draft documents, and/or   Deliberative Process	Deliberative Proces
	Client's In-	Client's In-		recipients: 45	other documents, reflecting internal deliberations and/or	Privilege
White Paper House		House		Most highly placed individual:	discussing, reflecting or in furtherance of recommendations, aronaests comments and/or other cubiective documents	
		Tormo)		David Samson, Chairman,	which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than	
understand an				Board of Commissioners	the policy of the agency, regarding the 2011 proposed toll and	
issue facing the					fare increases, including whether to raise the tolls and fares;	
Port Authority,				Least highly placed individual:	the size of the toll and fare increase; and whether to implement	
non-final versions	S			Andrew Austin, Leadership	an objective, financial-model based mechanism for future toll	
of documents of				Fellow Assigned to Deputy	and fare increases.	
various types that				Executive Director		
are covered by						
one of the claimed	70	***************************************				
privileges, and/or						
other privileged						
documents that						
are not						
communications,						
memoranda, or						
white papers.						

	Pocument 92-1 Filed 09/11/13 Page 3
Deliberative Process Privilege	Deliberative Process Privilege
Non-public communications, including documents reflecting communications, and other non-public documents, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing, reflecting, or in furtherance of recommendations, draft documents, proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding the 2011 approved toll and fare increases, including but not limited to proposals to modify or suspend them, including adjustments to the toll discount plan for the Bayonne and Goethals Bridges and the Outerbridge Crossing (approximate decision date: Dec. 8, 2011; documents generated Oct. 19, 2011—Dec. 8, 2011; documents to the toll discount plan for commercial vehicles (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period); and whether to modify and/or suspend entirely the August 2011 toll and fare increases (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period).	Non-public memoranda, white papers, draft documents, and/or other documents, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing, reflecting or in furtherance of recommendations, proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding the 2011 approved toll and fare increases, including but not limited to proposals to modify or suspend them, including adjustments to the toll discount plan for the Bayonne and Goethals Bridges and the Outerbridge Crossing (approximate decision date: Dec. 8, 2011; documents generated Oct. 19, 2011—Dec. 8, 2011; adjustments to the toll discount plan for commercial vehicles (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period); and whether to modify and/or suspend entirely the August 2011 toll and fare increases (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period).
Total number of authors and recipients: 41  Most highly placed individual: David Sarnson, Chairman, Board of Commissioners  Least highly placed individual: Ana Abelians, Senior Executive Secretary to the Deputy  Executive Director	Total number of authors and recipients: 36  Most highly placed individual: David Samson, Chairman, Board of Commissioners  Least highly placed individual: Ana Abelans, Senior Executive Secretary to the Deputy Executive Director
Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel	N/A
Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel	Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel
74	25
Communications, including e-mails and/or letters	Privileged Memoranda, White Paper reports drafted to help reacers understand an issue facing the Port Authority, non-final versions of documents of various types that are covered by one of the claimed privileges, and/or other privileged documents that are not communications, memoranda, or white papers.
10/14/2011 - 6/5/2012	
19	20

	1.11-CV-00740-RRE-FIDE DUCUITIETIL 92-1 F
	Deliberative Process Privilege
ADVICE REGARDING ITIN CAPITAL PLANNING	Non-public communications, including documents reflecting communications, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing, reflecting, or in furtherance of recommendations, draft documents, proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding capital planning and/or expenditures by the Port Authority for the TTN, including which projects to include on the capital plan and the order of prioritization (deliberations ongoing during the relevant time period); formalization of the Port Authority's policies regarding transparency initiatives in relation to capital planning (approximate decision date: Jun. 5, 2012; deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the Port Authority's Preliminary 2012 Budget (approximate decision date: Dec. 7, 2010; documents generated Sep. 22, 2010—Oct. 27, 2010; deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the Port Authority's Preliminary 2012 Budget (approximate decision date: Dec. 7, 2011; and approval or cancellation of capital expenditures projected for 2011, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital expenditures (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period).
<b>QV</b>	Total number of authors and recipients: 34  Most highly placed individual: David Samson, Chairman, Board of Commissioners  Least highly placed individual: Chris Valens, Public Information Officer
	Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel
	Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel
	23
	Communications, including e-mails and/or letters
	10/18/2010 6/5/2012
	24

ase 1.1	T-CA-00	(40-KN	Е-ПБР	Docum	ent 92
Deliberative Process Privilege					
Non-public memoranda, white papers, draft documents, and/or other documents, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing reflecting or in furtherance of recommendations.	proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding capital planning and/or expenditures by the Port Authority for the ITN, including	which projects to include on the capital plan and the order of prioritization (deliberations ongoing during the relevant time period); formalization of the Port Authority's policies regarding francapeness initiatives in relation to capital	planning (approximate decision date: Jun. 5, 2012; documents generated Apr. 13, 2012—Jun. 5, 2012); deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the Port Authority's 2011 Budget (approximate decision date: Dec. 7, 2010; documents	generated Sep. 22, 2010—Oct. 27, 2010); deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the Port Authority's Preliminary 2012 Budget (approximate decision date: Dec. 8, 2011; documents generated Apr. 1, 2011—Dec. 7, 2011); and	approval of cancenduol of capital experiorities projected for 2011, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital expenditures (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period).
Total number of authors and recipients: 36	Most highly placed individual: David Samson, Chairman, Board of Commissioners	Least highly placed individual: Michael Freydovich, Senior Financial Analyst for Management & Budget			
N/A					
Client and/or Client's In- House	Counsel				
50					
Privileged Memoranda, White Paner	reports drafted to help readers understand an issue facing the	Port Authority, non-final versions of documents of various types that	are covered by one of the claimed privileges, and/or other privileged	documents that are not communications, memoranda, or	wine papers.
25				-	

٩	35E T.T.	L-CV	<del>-00</del>	4	<u>0-R</u>	$\Gamma$	H	DI		יע	بالال	<u> </u>	IC	щ
	Deliberative Process Privilege													
	Non-public communications, including documents reflecting communications, and other non-public documents, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing, reflecting, or in	furtherance of recommendations, draft documents, proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect	the personal cpinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding the ITN's capital shortfall, including	approval or cancellation of capital expenditures projected for	2011, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital expenditures (deliberations ongoing during	relevant time period); approval or cancellation of capital	experiments projected for 2012, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital	expenditures (deliberations ongoing during relevant time	period); deliberations related to the drafting and approval of	The Port Authority's 2011 Budget (approximate decision date:	2010); deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the	Port Authority's Preliminary 2012 Budget (approximate	decision date: Dec. 8, 2011; documents generated Apr. 1,	2011—Dec. 7, 2011).
	Total number of authors and recipients: 21	Most highly placed individual: David Samson, Chairman,	Board of Commissioners	Least highly placed individual:	Danny Ju, Business Planning Policy for Tunnels, Bridges and	Terminals								
	N/A											-		
	Client and/or Client's In- House	Counsel												
	10													
	Communications, including e-mails and/or letters		,											
	10/18/2010 - 5/9/2011													
	26													

doc 1.11 cv dor to trice ribi bocument of
Deliberative Process Privilege
Non-public memoranda, white papers, draft documents, and/or other documents, reflecting internal deliberations and/or discussing, reflecting or in furtherance of recommendations, proposals, suggestions, and/or other subjective documents which reflect the personal opinions of the writer rather than the policy of the agency, regarding the ITN's capital shortfall, including approval or cancellation of capital expenditures projected for 2009, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital expenditures (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period); approval or cancellation of capital expenditures projected for 2011, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital expenditures (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period); approval or cancellation of capital expenditures projected for 2012, and/or determinations regarding whether to issue debt to fund said capital expenditures (deliberations ongoing during relevant time period); deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the Port Authority's 2011 Budget (approximate decision date: Dec. 7, 2010; deliberations related to the drafting and approval of the Port Authority's Preliminary 2012 Budget (approximate decision date: Dec. 8, 2011; documents generated Apr. 1, 2011—Dec. 7, 2011).
Total number of authors and recipients: 23  Most highly placed individual: David Samson, Chairman, Board of Commissioners  Least highly placed individual: Danny Jiji, Business Planning Policy for Tunnels, Bridges and Terminals
N/A
Client and/or Client's In- House Counsel
33
Privileged Memoranda, White Paper reports drafted to help readers understand an issue facing the Port Authority, non-final versions of documents of various types that are covered by one of the claimed privileges, and/or other privileged documents that are not communications, memoranda, or white papers.
1/23/2009 – 8/4/2011
27

## APPENDIX

- The term "Client" means and refers to the Port Authority, its employees, commissioners, and/or individuals at the New York or New Jersey governors' offices in the course of their duties to oversee the Port Authority.
- The term "Client's in-house counsel" means and refers to attorneys employed by the Port Authority, including: 7

Gail Altman	Harry Barr	James Begley
Darrell Buchbinder	Marci DiFrancesco	Paula Dow
Christine Farrington	Iris Goldstein	Christopher Hartwyk
Lawrence Hofrichter	Barbara Hutcheon	Howard Kadin
Stephen Kern	Arnold Kolikoff	David Kromm
Megan Lee	Stephen Marinko	John McDonough
Carlene McIntyre	Kathleen Miller	Sandra Otero
Risa Resnick	Edward Schroll	Thomas Segreto
Brian Smith	Timothy Stickelman	Allison Voetsch-Pinto
Richard Williams		

3. The term "Clients' Counsel" means and refers to the attorneys of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP and/or Venable LLP.